

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,702	HOFER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary C. Vieaux	2612	

All Participants:

(1) Gary C. Vieaux.

(2) Leslie Gehman, #45,624.

Status of Application: Condition for Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 June 2005

Time: 4:00 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim 22

Claims discussed:

Claim 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 22 was rejected in the action of 11/17/04 and this rejection was being upheld by the Examiner upon Response; all other claims pending after amendment were in condition for allowance (claims 7-10, 12-21, and 23-34). Claim 22 was cancelled in order to place the application in immediate condition for allowance. .